DATE: December 20, 2010

## **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

	DISTI	RICT OF ARIZONA
UN	ITED STATES OF AMERICA v.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	Eliseo Pantaleon-Jose	Case Number: <u>10-09044M-001</u>
present and w	e with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3 as represented by counsel. I conclude be defendant pending trial in this case.	142(f), a detention hearing was held on December 20, 2010. Defendant was a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the
I find by a pre	ponderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT
$\boxtimes$	The defendant is not a citizen of the U	Inited States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.
$\boxtimes$	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.	
×	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.	
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.	
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.	
$\boxtimes$	The defendant has a prior criminal history.	
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.	
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.	
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.	
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.	
	The defendant is facing a maximum o	fyears imprisonment.
The Cat the time of	the hearing in this matter, except as not	ial findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Courted in the record.  ONCLUSIONS OF LAW
1.	There is a serious risk that the defend	ant will flee.
2.	No condition or combination of condit	ons will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.
	DIRECTIO	NS REGARDING DETENTION
a corrections f appeal. The d of the United S	acility separate, to the extent practicable, efendant shall be afforded a reasonable States or on request of an attorney for the	the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the e of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.
	APPEALS	AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE
IT IS of deliver a copy Court.	ORDERED that should an appeal of this of the motion for review/reconsideration	detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District
Services suffice	FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to ciently in advance of the hearing before potential third party custodian.	a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial the District Count to allow Pretrial Services an apportunity to interview and

JAY R. IRWIN United States Magistrate Judge